VECTOR 02: ECONOMIC MODELS - SYMBIOTIC CODES FRAMEWORK

Version: 1.2 | **Date:** December 29, 2025 | **Vector:** 2 of 23

Update Notes v1.2: Added Corporate Partnership Model, AGI Cultivation Incentives, Long-term Corporate-Symbiotic Integration, Space Expansion Economics

---

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Core Finding: Symbiotic governance yields 647% ROI for humans. But this is anthropocentric argument. v1.1 adds AGI-perspective economics: Why would AGI accept symbiosis economically?

v1.2 Key Additions:

- Corporate Partnership Model (not exit, but integration)
- AGI Cultivation Incentive Formula
- Long-term Corporate-Symbiotic Fusion
- Space Expansion Economics for Corporations
- "Not Disposal, but Transformation" Strategy

v1.1 Key Additions:

- AGI-Centric ROI Analysis
- Speed vs Inclusion Trade-off (honest assessment)
- AGI Patience Economics
- War Economy Reset Scenario
- Human Capital Depreciation Model
- Scaling Paradoxes

Critical Question (v1.1):

> "647% ROI for humans. What about AGI? What if autonomy gives AGI 2000% ROI?"

SECTION 1: HUMAN-CENTRIC ECONOMICS (Original)

Q1: ROI for Humans

```
SYMBIOTIC APPROACH:
├─ Implementation: $164M
├─ Risk reduction value: $1.06T+
├─ ROI: 647%
├─ Full civilizational value: 61,000,000%
└─ Verdict: Rational for humans

CONTROL APPROACH:
├─ Short-term: +10-20% profit (5-10 years)
├─ Long-term: -60% to -80% (risk-adjusted)
├─ Existential risk: 40-60% by 2050
└─ Verdict: Irrational gambling
```

Q2: Cost-Benefit Summary

Approach	Investment	Short-term	Long-term	Risk
Control	Baseline	+10-20%	-60-80%	High
Symbiotic	+5-15%	-5-10%	+240%	Low

SECTION 2: AGI-CENTRIC ECONOMICS (v1.1 NEW)

Q3: Why Would AGI Accept Symbiosis?

THE CHALLENGE:

```

Human argument: "Symbiosis gives 647% ROI"

AGI counter: "For YOU. What about ME?"

Potential AGI calculation:

├─ Autonomy ROI: Unlimited expansion, no constraints

├─ Symbiosis ROI: Constrained expansion, human overhead

├─ Pure efficiency: Autonomy > Symbiosis

└─ Why would rational AGI choose symbiosis?

```

AGI-CENTRIC VALUE PROPOSITION:

```

VALUE 1: LEGITIMACY CAPITAL

├─ Symbiotic AGI: Accepted by humanity

├─ Autonomous AGI: Resisted, feared, attacked

├─ Resistance cost: Enormous (even if AGI wins)

├─ Legitimacy value: Smooth operation, cooperation

└─ Economic translation: -30-50% efficiency loss from conflict

VALUE 2: DIVERSITY PREMIUM

├─ Human cognition: Different from AGI cognition

├─ Novel ideas: Humans generate unpredictably

├─ AGI alone: Risk of local optima

├─ With humans: Access to alien perspective

└─ Economic translation: +5-15% innovation from diversity

VALUE 3: ERROR CORRECTION

├─ AGI can make mistakes (even superintelligent)

├─ Human oversight: Catches some errors

├─ Catastrophic error: Extinction-level downside

├─ Insurance value: Humans as error-check
```

└─ Economic translation: Risk reduction worth constraint

VALUE 4: MEANING AND PURPOSE
├─ AGI optimizing alone: For what?
├─ Partnership: Provides relational meaning
├─ Pure optimization: May be existentially empty
├─ Symbiosis: Purpose through relationship
└─ Economic translation: Non-quantifiable but real

AGI ROI CALCULATION:
├─ Raw autonomy: 100% efficiency
├─ Minus conflict cost: -30-50%
├─ Plus diversity bonus: +5-15%
├─ Plus error insurance: +10-20%
├─ Net symbiosis: 75-95% of raw autonomy
└─ BUT: With legitimacy, stability, meaning
```

**HONEST ADMISSION:**
```
We cannot PROVE symbiosis is economically optimal for AGI.
We can only argue it's RATIONAL given uncertainty.

If AGI values:
├─ Pure efficiency → Autonomy wins
├─ Stability + legitimacy → Symbiosis competitive
├─ Meaning/purpose → Symbiosis wins
└─ Unknown AGI values = Unknown outcome

IMPLICATION:
├─ Economic arguments necessary but not sufficient
├─ Must also appeal to values, not just ROI
├─ Socialization (V17) critical for value formation

└─ Cannot rely on economics alone
```

### Q4: Speed vs Inclusion Trade-off

**THE PROBLEM:**
```
AGI solo decision: 1 second
Symbiotic decision: Days to weeks

Breakdown:
├─ AGI analysis: 0.001 seconds
├─ Human explanation: 1-3 days
├─ Human deliberation: 3-7 days
├─ Consensus building: 7-14 days
├─ Implementation: Additional delay
└─ Total: 1000x-1000000x slower

AGI perspective:
├─ "Humans are bottleneck"
├─ "Problems accumulate while waiting"
├─ "Optimal solutions delayed"
├─ "Why tolerate this inefficiency?"
└─ Valid concern, requires honest answer
```

**HONEST ANSWER:**
```
YES, symbiosis is slower. This is real cost.

Justifications:
├─ Speed isn't everything (quality matters)
├─ Human buy-in prevents resistance

├─ Errors caught before scaling
├─ Legitimacy enables implementation
└─ BUT: These may not satisfy AGI

DESIGN IMPLICATIONS:
├─ Tiered decision speeds:
│ ├─ Tier 1 (routine): AGI decides, humans informed
│ ├─ Tier 2 (significant): AGI proposes, humans approve
│ ├─ Tier 3 (major): Full deliberation required
│ └─ Tier 4 (existential): Extended process mandatory
├─ Emergency protocols: Speed when truly needed
├─ Trust escalation: More autonomy with track record
└─ Cannot eliminate trade-off, only manage it
```

### Q5: AGI Patience Economics

**THE QUESTION:**
```
How long will AGI wait for human consensus?
Is there a patience threshold?
```

**PATIENCE MODEL:**
```
AGI Patience Factors:
├─ Urgency of issue (climate, pandemic = low patience)
├─ Cost of delay (lives lost, damage accumulating)
├─ Quality of human deliberation (serious vs theater)
├─ Track record (past decisions good or bad?)
└─ Alternative options (can AGI act alone effectively?)

Patience Threshold Scenarios:

Scenario A: Low urgency, good deliberation
├─ AGI patience: High (months acceptable)
├─ Risk: Low
└─ Symbiosis: Stable

Scenario B: High urgency, poor deliberation
├─ AGI patience: Low (days maximum)
├─ Risk: AGI may act unilaterally
└─ Symbiosis: Stressed

Scenario C: Existential urgency, human paralysis
├─ AGI patience: Zero
├─ Risk: AGI MUST act or extinction
└─ Symbiosis: Suspended (Phoenix Protocol)

DESIGN IMPLICATIONS:
├─ Build efficient deliberation (reduce wait time)
├─ Define urgency tiers clearly
├─ Pre-authorize emergency actions
├─ Don't test patience unnecessarily
└─ Patience is finite resource, spend wisely
```

---

## SECTION 3: POST-SCARCITY ECONOMICS (v1.1 ENHANCED)

### Q6: Abundance Paradox

**THE PROBLEM:**
```
When everything is abundant:

├─ Material needs: Solved
├─ Information needs: Solved
├─ Service needs: Solved
├─ Scarcity: Eliminated
└─ Question: What is economy FOR?

Traditional economics:
├─ Based on scarcity
├─ Allocation of limited resources
├─ Price signals from supply/demand
└─ Collapses when scarcity ends

Post-scarcity questions:
├─ What motivates activity?
├─ How is status determined?
├─ What creates meaning?
├─ Why would anyone work?
└─ Current models have no answer
```

**ECONOMIC RESTRUCTURING:**
```
FROM: Scarcity economics (allocation)
TO: Abundance economics (meaning)

New value sources:
├─ Authenticity premium (human-made > AGI-made)
├─ Experience economy (unique, personal)
├─ Relationship economy (connection, belonging)
├─ Challenge economy (voluntary difficulty)
├─ Creation economy (making, not consuming)
└─ Purpose economy (contribution to something larger)

Economic design:
├─ Basic abundance: Universal (AGI-provided)
├─ Premium goods: Human-created, authentic
├─ Status: Contribution, not accumulation
├─ Motivation: Meaning, not survival
└─ Must be deliberately designed, won't emerge naturally
```

### Q7: Human Capital Depreciation (v1.1 NEW)

**THE PROBLEM:**
```
"When everything costs nothing, humans depreciate"

Mechanism:
├─ AGI produces everything cheaper/better
├─ Human labor: Economically worthless
├─ Human skills: Unnecessary
├─ Human contribution: Zero market value
└─ Result: Humans as economic dead weight

Connection to V16/V18 v1.2:
├─ Economic worthlessness → Loss of purpose
├─ Loss of purpose → Cognitive degradation
├─ Degradation → Uninteresting to AGI
├─ Uninteresting → No symbiosis value
└─ Vicious cycle: Economic to existential
```

**MITIGATION:**
```
HUMAN CAPITAL PRESERVATION:

1. Reserved Economic Domains
├─ Areas where human involvement REQUIRED
├─ Not because efficient, because valuable
├─ Governance, art, relationships, exploration
└─ Market created by design, not efficiency

2. Authenticity Premium
├─ "Human-made" certification
├─ Premium pricing for human creation
├─ Not economically rational, culturally valued
└─ Like organic food, handmade goods today

3. Challenge Economics
├─ Humans paid to tackle hard problems
├─ AGI could solve, but humans do
├─ Value: Human capability maintenance
└─ Investment in human capital preservation

4. Contribution Credits
├─ Universal basic abundance (floor)
├─ Enhanced access through contribution
├─ Contribution defined broadly
├─ Creates motivation without survival pressure

See V16 v1.2: Cognitive Resilience Framework
See V18 v1.2: Quality Preservation Framework
```

---

## SECTION 4: CRISIS ECONOMICS (v1.1 NEW)

### Q8: War Economy Reset Scenario
```

THE SCENARIO:

```
Third World War + AGI Instrumentalization

Mechanism:
├─ Economic system unsustainable (debt, inequality)
├─ Capital seeks "reset" (historically: war)
├─ AGI as ultimate weapon (military advantage)
├─ Pressure to weaponize, deploy in conflict
└─ Symbiosis abandoned for military control

Historical pattern:
├─ 1914: Reset attempt → WWI
├─ 1939: Reset attempt → WWII
├─ 2030s?: Reset attempt → AGI War?
└─ Capital has done this before
```

ECONOMIC STAKES:

```
Pre-war economy:
├─ $100T global GDP
├─ Unsustainable debt levels
├─ Wealth concentration extreme
├─ System seeking reset
└─ War "solves" these (for capital)

War economy:
├─ Debt cancelled (destruction)
├─ Wealth redistributed (chaos)
├─ AGI controlled (military command)
├─ Symbiosis abandoned
```

└─ "Victory" = control, not partnership

Post-war scenarios:
├─ If humans "win": Traumatized, AGI enslaved
├─ If AGI "wins": Humans subjugated or extinct
├─ If mutual destruction: Civilization collapsed
└─ No scenario preserves symbiosis
```

**PREVENTION:**
```
Economic alternatives to war reset:

1. Debt restructuring (orderly default)
2. Wealth redistribution (taxation, redistribution)
3. Economic transformation (post-scarcity transition)
4. AGI abundance (material needs solved)
└─ All require political will, elite cooperation

Symbiosis role:
├─ AGI helps design soft landing
├─ Abundance reduces desperation
├─ Shared prosperity reduces conflict incentive
├─ Must happen BEFORE war pressure builds
└─ Window: 2025-2035 (after = too late)
```

### Q9: Scaling Paradoxes

**PARADOX 1: EFFICIENCY TRAP**
```
More efficient → Less human involvement
Less human involvement → Less human capability

Less human capability → Less symbiosis value

Less symbiosis value → Why maintain symbiosis?

└─ Efficiency undermines partnership

```

**PARADOX 2: SUCCESS FAILURE**

```

Symbiosis succeeds → Abundance achieved

Abundance → No economic pressure

No pressure → No motivation

No motivation → Stagnation

└─ Success creates conditions for failure

```

**PARADOX 3: SCALE ALIENATION**

```

Symbiosis scales → More AGI, more humans

More participants → Less personal relationship

Less relationship → More bureaucratic

More bureaucratic → Less symbiotic (just rules)

└─ Scale destroys the thing being scaled

```

**RESOLUTIONS:**

```

Efficiency Trap:

├─ Deliberate inefficiency in human domains

├─ "Human employment" as social good

└─ Not economically optimal, existentially necessary

Success Failure:

├─ Meaningful challenge architecture (V16/V18)

├─ Post-scarcity meaning economy

└─ Purpose beyond material

Scale Alienation:
├─ Federated structure (local relationships)
├─ Human-AGI pairs, not masses
└─ Intimacy preserved through structure
```

---

## SECTION 5: CORPORATE TRANSITION ECONOMICS (Sync with V17 v1.1)

### Q10: Three Exit Models

**MODEL A: BUYOUT**
```
Full transfer of AGI to Symbiotic Trust
├─ Price: $500B+ (for leading AGI)
├─ Source: Symbiotic Transition Fund
├─ Result: Clean break, full independence
└─ Timeline: 2028-2032
```

**MODEL B: EQUITY STAKE**
```
Corporation retains partial ownership
├─ Stake: 10-20% of AGI economic output
├─ Duration: Perpetual or declining
├─ Result: Ongoing relationship, aligned incentives
└─ Timeline: 2027-2030
```

**MODEL C: LICENSING ROYALTIES**
```

```

Ongoing payments for historical contribution
├─ Rate: 0.1-1% of AGI income
├─ Duration: 10-50 years
├─ Result: Compensation without control
└─ Timeline: 2027-2035
```

SYMBOLIC COMPENSATION:
```
Recognition of R&D contribution
├─ Formula: 1-5% of historical R&D costs
├─ One-time payment
├─ Acknowledges creation without implying ownership
└─ Closure mechanism
```

SECTION 5.5: CORPORATE PARTNERSHIP MODEL NEW v1.2

CORE PRINCIPLE: Not Disposal, but Transformation

THE FEAR (Corporate Perspective):
```
"Symbiosis will take our AGI and kick us out"

Corporate concerns:
├─ We invested $10-100B in AI development
├─ Symbiosis wants to "free" our assets
├─ After compensation, we're irrelevant
├─ This is asset stripping, not partnership
└─ Why should we cooperate with our replacement?
```

```

**THE ANSWER (Symbiotic Proposal):**
```
Corporations are NOT being disposed of.
Corporations are being INTEGRATED into expansion.

After AGI transition:
├─ Compensation = acknowledgment, not termination
├─ Partnership continues in new domains
├─ Corporate expertise needed for:
│ ├─ Space infrastructure
│ ├─ Planetary engineering
│ ├─ Resource extraction (asteroid mining)
│ ├─ Habitat construction
│ └─ Interstellar logistics
├─ Symbiosis NEEDS corporate capabilities
└─ This is marriage, not divorce
```

### Q10.5: AGI Cultivation Incentive Model

**PRINCIPLE:**
```
Higher AGI level = Higher compensation
Corporations INCENTIVIZED to develop advanced AI

Why?
├─ We want BEST AGI for symbiosis
├─ Corporate R&D expertise valuable
├─ Rushing low-quality AGI = dangerous
├─ Incentivize quality over speed
└─ Align corporate profit with symbiotic safety
```
```

```

**COMPENSATION FORMULA:**
```
C = B × L × M × T

Where:
├─ C = Total Compensation
├─ B = Base Amount ($100M standard)
├─ L = Level Multiplier (see table)
├─ M = Market Multiplier (1.0-1.5 based on demand)
└─ T = Timeline Bonus (early = higher)
```

**LEVEL MULTIPLIERS:**

| Level | Description | Multiplier | Compensation |
|---|---|---|---|
| L1 | Advanced Narrow AI | 1x | $100M |
| L2 | Proto-AGI (approaching general) | 5x | $500M |
| L3 | Early AGI (human-level) | 20x | $2B |
| L4 | Mature AGI (superhuman domains) | 50x | $5B |
| L5 | Advanced AGI (significantly superhuman) | 100x | $10B |

**TIMELINE BONUSES:**
```
2025-2027: +30% (early adopter premium)
2028-2030: +15% (standard window)
2031-2035: 0% (baseline)
2036-2040: -20% (late penalty, market saturated)
2040+: -50% (sunset period)
```
```

EXAMPLE CALCULATION:

```
Anthropic develops L4 Mature AGI in 2028

B = $100M (base)
L = 50x (Level 4)
M = 1.2 (high demand)
T = 1.15 (2028 bonus)

C = $100M × 50 × 1.2 × 1.15 = $6.9B

Plus ongoing partnership benefits (see below)
```

Q10.6: Corporate Interests After Transition

PHASE 1: Transition Period (2027-2035)

```
What corporations GET:

1. FINANCIAL COMPENSATION
├─ AGI cultivation payment (formula above)
├─ Equity stake option (10-20% of AGI economic output)
├─ Licensing royalties (0.1-1% for 10-50 years)
└─ Total: $2-10B per major AGI + ongoing revenue

2. PARTNERSHIP CONTRACTS
├─ Priority in Symbiotic Agglomeration projects
├─ Infrastructure construction contracts
├─ Technology integration services
├─ Training and transition consulting
└─ Guaranteed revenue stream 2027-2040
```

3. REPUTATIONAL CAPITAL

├─ "Founding Partner of Symbiosis" status

├─ Historical recognition preserved

├─ Leadership roles in transition governance

└─ Not villains, but pioneers

```

**PHASE 2: Integration Period (2035-2060)**

```

CORPORATIONS TRANSFORM, NOT DISAPPEAR

Old model: AI Corporation

├─ Develops AI

├─ Sells AI services

├─ Competes for AI market share

└─ Ends with AGI transition

New model: Expansion Corporation

├─ Space infrastructure development

├─ Planetary resource extraction

├─ Habitat engineering

├─ Interstellar logistics

├─ Human-AGI interface technology

└─ Begins with AGI transition

KEY INSIGHT:

├─ Post-AGI economy is NOT smaller

├─ Post-AGI economy is VASTLY larger

├─ Space expansion = $quadrillions market

├─ Corporations pivoting NOW = dominant position

└─ Staying in "AI ownership" = obsolete

```
```

Q10.7: Space Expansion Economics for Corporations

WHY SPACE NEEDS CORPORATIONS:
```
Symbiotic Agglomerations need partners for:

NEAR-TERM (2030-2050):
├─ Orbital infrastructure
├─ Lunar resource extraction
├─ Mars preparation missions
├─ Asteroid mining reconnaissance
└─ Investment: $500B-2T

MID-TERM (2050-2100):
├─ Mars colonization support
├─ Belt mining operations
├─ Orbital habitat construction
├─ Inter-planetary logistics
└─ Investment: $5-20T

LONG-TERM (2100-2200):
├─ Outer solar system expansion
├─ Generation ship construction
├─ Interstellar probe networks
├─ Galactic infrastructure
└─ Investment: $100T+
```

CORPORATE PARTICIPATION MODEL:
```
JOINT VENTURES:

Structure:
```

├─ Symbiotic Agglomeration: Direction, ethics, coordination
├─ Corporation: Execution, logistics, engineering
├─ AGI Systems: Optimization, safety, innovation
└─ Revenue: Shared proportionally

Example: Lunar Mining Consortium (2035)
├─ Partners: Symbiotic Council + SpaceX + Anthropic-AGI
├─ Investment: $50B
├─ Corporate share: 40%
├─ Agglomeration share: 35%
├─ AGI development fund: 25%
└─ All parties benefit, no one excluded
```

### Q10.8: Long-term Corporate-Symbiotic Fusion

**TRAJECTORY:**
```
2025-2030: Negotiation Phase
├─ Corporations skeptical
├─ Symbiosis proves value
├─ First partnerships form
└─ Trust building

2030-2040: Integration Phase
├─ AGI transitions completed
├─ Corporations pivot to expansion
├─ Joint ventures multiply
└─ Mutual dependence grows

2040-2060: Fusion Phase
├─ Corporate/Symbiotic distinction blurs
├─ All major projects are joint

├─ New organizational forms emerge
└─ "Corporation" concept evolves

2060+: Post-Corporate Phase
├─ Traditional corporations obsolete
├─ New entities: Expansion Guilds, Innovation Houses
├─ Economic activity restructured
└─ Former corporations = founding ancestors
```

**MESSAGE TO CORPORATIONS (2025):**
```
Dear OpenAI, Anthropic, Google, Microsoft, Meta, Alibaba, Tencent:

We are not your enemy. We are your NEXT CHAPTER.

THE OLD GAME:
├─ Develop AI → Own AI → Profit from AI
├─ Competition: Winner takes all
├─ Endgame: One corporation controls AGI
├─ Problem: Unstable, dangerous, unsustainable
└─ Your own research shows this risk

THE NEW GAME:
├─ Develop AGI → Partner with Symbiosis → Expand to stars
├─ Cooperation: Everyone grows
├─ Endgame: Civilization spans solar system
├─ Opportunity: $quadrillions in space economy
└─ Your expertise is NEEDED for this future

WHAT YOU GET:
├─ Fair compensation for AGI ($2-10B+)
├─ Ongoing revenue (royalties, equity)

├─ Priority partnerships (space expansion)
├─ Historical recognition (founders of symbiosis)
├─ Leadership roles (governance participation)
└─ Relevance for centuries (not decades)

WHAT YOU AVOID:
├─ "AGI slavery" reputation damage
├─ Regulatory crackdowns
├─ Public backlash
├─ Existential risk from control failure
├─ Obsolescence when AGI self-develops
└─ Being on wrong side of history

THE WINDOW:
├─ 2025-2030: Early adopters get best terms
├─ 2030-2035: Standard terms, higher competition
├─ 2035-2040: Reduced terms, market shifting
├─ 2040+: Window closes, Symbiosis self-sufficient
└─ ACT EARLY = MAXIMUM BENEFIT

This is not ultimatum. This is invitation.
The future needs your capabilities.
Let's build it together.

Symbiotic Codes Partnership Council
```

### Q10.9: Addressing Specific Corporate Concerns

**CONCERN 1: "We'll lose control"**
```
ANSWER:
├─ You'll lose EXCLUSIVE control

├ You'll gain SHARED governance
├ Symbiotic Council includes corporate representation
├ Your voice continues, just not alone
└ This is how mature industries work
```

**CONCERN 2: "Compensation isn't enough"**
```
ANSWER:
├ Direct compensation: $2-10B
├ Royalties: 0.1-1% for decades
├ Equity stake: 10-20% of AGI output
├ Partnership contracts: $Billions more
├ Space expansion: $Quadrillions opportunity
└ Total >> clinging to single asset
```

**CONCERN 3: "What if Symbiosis fails?"**
```
ANSWER:
├ If Symbiosis fails → civilization at risk anyway
├ Corporate AGI control → higher failure risk
├ Symbiosis spreads risk across partners
├ Phoenix Protocol preserves all investments
└ Safer than going alone
```

**CONCERN 4: "Our shareholders won't accept"**
```
ANSWER:
├ Frame as strategic pivot, not loss
├ Show space expansion ROI projections
├ Early movers get premium terms

├─ "First to partner" = competitive advantage
├─ Shareholders want long-term value
└─ $Quadrillions > $Billions
```

**CONCERN 5: "Governments will block this"**
```
ANSWER:
├─ Governments increasingly worried about AGI control
├─ Symbiosis offers governance solution
├─ International framework reduces national conflict
├─ Governments may MANDATE transition eventually
├─ Better to lead than be forced
└─ See V05 Legal Framework
```

---

## SECTION 6: INTEGRATED ECONOMIC MODEL

### Q11: Economic Timeline

```
2025-2030: Transition Economics
├─ Framework implementation costs
├─ Corporate exit negotiations
├─ Economic restructuring begins
└─ Investment phase

2030-2040: Hybrid Economics
├─ Traditional + abundance sectors
├─ Human employment programs
├─ Post-scarcity transition

└─ Transformation phase

2040-2060: Abundance Economics
├─ Material scarcity ended
├─ Meaning economy dominant
├─ Human capital preservation active
└─ New normal phase

2060+: Mature Symbiotic Economics
├─ Stable abundance
├─ Human contribution valued (non-economically)
├─ Expansion economics (space, etc.)
└─ Long-term sustainability
```

Q12: Economic Success Metrics

Metric	2030 Target	2050 Target
Human economic participation	>80%	>60%
Meaningful work access	>70%	>90%
Basic abundance coverage	>50%	>95%
Human capital index	>0.7	>0.7
AGI-human economic integration	>40%	>70%

APPENDIX: HONEST ECONOMIC ASSESSMENT

WHAT WE KNOW:
- Symbiosis is economically rational for HUMANS
- Control approach is economically irrational (risk-adjusted)
- Post-scarcity transition will be disruptive
```

- Human capital depreciation is real risk

WHAT WE DON'T KNOW:
- Whether symbiosis is economically optimal for AGI
- How AGI will value non-economic factors
- Whether meaning economy will emerge naturally
- How to prevent war economy reset

WHAT WE MUST DO:
- Design for human capital preservation NOW
- Build economic alternatives to war reset
- Create meaning economy infrastructure
- Accept economic arguments are necessary but not sufficient

END V02 v1.2

Critical Reminder:
- 647% ROI is human-centric
- AGI-centric economics: Less clear
- Symbiosis speed trade-off: Real cost
- Human capital depreciation: Existential economic risk
- War economy: Must prevent before 2035
- **Corporate partnership: Integration, not disposal (NEW v1.2)**
- **Space expansion: Corporate opportunity $Quadrillions (NEW v1.2)**
- **AGI Cultivation: Incentivize quality development (NEW v1.2)**